

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

ABELEV, Gary
Dorsey & Whitney L.L.P.
250 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10177
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Patent Mail Received

OCT 19 2005

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

13 October 2005 (13.10.2005)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

~~069225.0152~~

475387-14

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No.

PCT/US2004/010152

International filing date (day/month/year)

31 March 2004 (31.03.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year)

31 March 2003 (31.03.2003)

Applicant

THE GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Masashi Honda

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 70 10

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 069225.0153	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/US2004/010152	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 31 March 2004 (31.03.2004)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 31 March 2003 (31.03.2003)]	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G01B 9/02			
Applicant THE GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION			

- This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 *bis*.1(a).
- This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
- This report contains indications relating to the following items:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I	Basis of the report
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II	Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
- The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		Date of issuance of this report 01 October 2005 (01.10.2005)
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35		Authorized officer Masashi Honda
		Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

REC'D 23 DEC 2004

WIPO

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:
GARY ABELEV
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK, NY 10112-4498

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

20 DEC 2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

069225.0153

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/US04/10152

International filing date (day/month/year)

31 March 2004 (31.03.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year)

31 March 2003 (31.03.2003)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC(7): G01B 9/02 and US Cl.: 356/479

Applicant

THE GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Samuel A. Turner

Telephone No. 703-308-0956

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/US04/10152

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐ a sequence listing

☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐ in written format

☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐ contained in international application as filed.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US04/10152

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 *bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>2-10, 14-20, and 24</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1, 11-13, 21-23, 25, and 26</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>2-10, 14-20, and 24</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1, 11-13, 21-23, 25, and 26</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-26</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1, 11-13, 21-23, 25, and 26 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Waelti et al(WO 01/38820).

Waelti et al teach an interferometer sample arm that contains an arrangement(120) for providing a delay in at least one of two radiations.

Claims 2-10, 14-20, and 24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest generating a plurality of images based on the radiations of the sample arm and a reference arm.